PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

DEMARKS Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. n	U.S.	Patent and Tr	ademark Office	ise through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 e; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
Under the Paberwork Reduction Act of 1995. In	Application Number	10/630,336		s it displays a valid OMB Control Hullider.		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	July 30, 2003				
FORM	First Named Inventor	Philip E. Eg	gers			
	Art Unit	3736				
(to be used for all correspondence after initial fili	Examiner Name	Charles Alan Marmor, II				
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3	Attorney Docket Number	NET 2-097				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			er Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			peal Communication to Board Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence of Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on Cl Remarks	Address	Pro Sta	peal Communication to TC peal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) prietary Information tus Letter per Enclosure(s) (please Identify pow):		
,	URE OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, O	R AGEN			
Firm Name Mueller and Smith, VPA	1 001					
Signature						
Printed name Gerald L. Smith						
Date December 29, 2004		Reg. No.	22,009			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with						
sufficient postage as first class mail in an enve the date shown below:	elope addressed to: Commissioner fo	r Patents, P	.O. Box 145	0, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on		
Signature	Leener					
Typed or printed name Jane Keeney			Da	December 29, 2004		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Appln. No. 10/630,336 Reply to Office Action of: December 23, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of Philip E. Eggers,	et al.)
)
Serial No:10/630,336)
Filed: July 30, 2003)
For: "Electrosurgical Method and Appa With Dense Tissue Recovery Cap)
TC/AU 3736		
Examiner Charles Alan Marmor, II		
Attorney Docket No. NET 2-097		
Honorable Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Fee Amendment P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		

Response

Sir:

An Office Action was mailed December 23, 2004 asserting a restriction requirement under Section 121 of the Patent Statute. In levying this restriction requirement, the Examiner has identified a Group I incorporating claims 1-17 and a Group II incorporating claims 18-26.

Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, Group I incorporating claims 1-17.

Section 121 of the Patent Statute authorizes a requirement for a restriction only when two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application. While the invention defined by the claims of Group I and the invention defined by the claims of Group II may be distinct and separately patentable in different applications, it is clear that these inventions are dependent in that each is related to the other in operation or effect. The Statute requires both independence and distinctness, not either, and provides such requirement in definite terms. The construction adopted by the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure assumes that the Statute authorizes restriction between the inventions which are not independent and distinct but which are either independent or distinct. It is applicant's position that the

Appln. No. 10/630,336

Reply to Office Action of: December 23, 2004

plain meaning of the Statute defies such construction. For this reason, applicant requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn so that all of the claims may be prosecuted as a single invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 29/7=04

Gerald L. Smith

Reg. No. 22,009

MUELLER AND SMITH, L.P.A. MUELLER-SMITH BUILDING 7700 Rivers Edge Drive Columbus, Ohio 43235-1355

Tel.: 614-436-0600 Fax: 614-436-0057

email: jsmith@muellersmith.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on December 29, 2004 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jane Keeney